



Methods of dietary pattern analysis in weight-loss dietary interventions: detailed food categories and a diet quality tool.

Sara Grafenauer, Linda Tapsell, Eleanor Beck & Marijka Batterham



Introduction

- Foods are more complex than the sum of nutrients they contain
- Dietary pattern research within dietary interventions may be useful in understanding the dietary causes of overweight and...
- The specific food choices of dieters may be important in weight-loss success (diet quality).
- A framework for examining dietary patterns and measuring changing diets aligned with clinical practice is lacking.



British Journal of Nutrition (2014), **111**, 1862–1870
© The Authors 2014

doi:10.1017/S0007114514000063

Development and validation of a Food Choices Score for use in weight-loss interventions

Sara J. Grafenauer^{1*}, Linda C. Tapsell¹, Eleanor J. Beck¹ and Marijka J. Batterham²

¹*Smart Food Centre, School of Health Sciences, University of Wollongong, Northfields Avenue, Wollongong, 2522 NSW, Australia*

²*School of Mathematics and Applied Statistics, University of Wollongong, Northfields Avenue, Wollongong 2522 NSW, Australia*

(Submitted 16 May 2013 – Final revision received 9 December 2013 – Accepted 3 January 2014 – First published online 6 February 2014)

Abstract

Weight loss results from an energy deficit, although the quality of food choices making up the diet may also be important. The aim of the present study was to develop and validate a diet quality tool based on food categories to monitor dietary change in clinical weight-loss settings. The Food Choices Score (FCS) was based on seventeen food categories, each scoring up to five points, totalling 85. In addition to content validity, the tool was validated using (1) two energy-deficit diet models (6500 and 7400 kJ) assuring nutrient and food-group targets and (2) dietary data from two weight-loss trials (*n* 189). First, the diet models confirmed that an optimal score of 85 was achievable. Second, change in scores was compared with weight loss achieved at 3 months. The trial data produced a mean FCS of 42.6 (SD 8.6), increasing to 49.1 (SD 7.6) by 3 months. Participants who lost weight achieved a higher FCS at 3 months than those who did not



Method

1. 17 food categories derived from a review of the literature
 - associations with health outcomes,
 - existing food categorisation systems
 - the culinary use of foods.
2. A diet quality tool utilising the food categories & dietary modelling with an energy-deficit (6500 and 7400kJ) & adequate nutrient intake.

1. Wholegrain foods (30g)
2. Non-wholegrain cereals (30g)
3. Fruit (150g)
4. Free vegetables (75g)
5. Starchy vegetables (75g)
6. Legumes (75g)
7. Low fat dairy foods:<3.5% fat (150ml)
8. Medium fat dairy foods:3.5-10% fat (150ml)
9. High fat dairy foods: >10% fat (30g)
10. Lean Meat and poultry (30g)
11. Fatty meat (30g)
12. Fish and seafood (30g)
13. Eggs (1 egg)
14. Nuts (and seeds) (30g)
15. Unsaturated oils and margarine (5g)
16. Alcoholic beverages (400kJ)
17. Non-Core foods and drinks (600kJ)



SMART & HEAL trials

Is a higher omega 3 intake advantageous for weight loss? [SMART study]

- CI Tapsell LC, Batterham MJ, Charlton KE
- Funding source: NHMRC project ##514631; ACTR 12608000425392

Importance of high vegetable consumption in controlling weight [HEAL study].

- CI Tapsell LC, Batterham MJ, Price W, Gidley M, Johnson S, Williams D.
- Funding source: Horticulture Australia Ltd using the vegetable levy and matched with funding from the Australian government Project #VG0907. ACTR 12610000784011



Method

- Each method of dietary pattern analysis was applied to data from two weight-loss intervention trials (n=231) at baseline and 3-months
- The dietary patterns were described using the food categories and using the summative FCS
- Dietary pattern changes, Δ FCS and weight-loss.



Results

- **Baseline score improved by 3-months** (42.6 ± 8.6 versus 48.9 ± 7.8).
- **Those losing $\geq 5\%$ weight showed greater improvement in FCS** ($n=100$; $P=0.024$) than those losing $< 5\%$.
- **More weight-loss was achieved by those with a greater change in FCS** ($\Delta \geq 7$; $n=100$; $P=0.044$).
- **Those losing more weight consumed**
 - more fruit ($P=0.009$)
 - more low-fat dairy food ($P=0.004$),
 - less fatty meat ($P<0.001$),
 - less non-wholegrain cereals ($P<0.001$),
 - less non-core foods and drinks ($P<0.001$).



Conclusions...

- The FCS and Δ FCS proved valid for assessing diet quality and change in diet quality in weight loss settings
- The defined 17 food categories provided a more detailed perspective as to the changes in food choices actually made, complementing nutrient analysis of the diets
- The 17 food categories provides a simple framework for future research



References

- Tapsell, L., et al., *Foods, nutrients or whole diets: effects of targeting fish and LCn3PUFA consumption in a 12mo weight loss trial*. BMC Public Health, 2013. **13**(1): p. 1231.
<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/13/1231> (SMART)
- Tapsell LC, Batterham MJ, Thorne RL, O'Shea, J.E., Grafenauer, S.J., Probst, Y.C., (2014) "*Weight loss effects from vegetable intake: a 12-month randomised controlled trial*". European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, advance online publication 26 March 2014.
<http://www.nature.com/doi/10.1038/ejcn.2014.39> (HEAL)
- Grafenauer, SJ, Tapsell, LC, Beck, EJ and Batterham MJ. "*Development and validation of a Food Choices Score modelled on diet quality for clinical weight loss interventions*". British Journal of Nutrition, 111 (10), 1862-1870; doi 10.1017/S0007114514000063
http://journals.cambridge.org/repo_A92e5hP3.