



**Targeting specific food choices in weight loss interventions: food-level analysis**

**Sara Grafenauer,  
Linda Tapsell, Eleanor Beck &  
Marijka Batterham**





# Hypothesis

- A dietary pattern approach to analysis, applied to a clinical intervention data may reveal information that cannot be obtained by examination of nutrients alone.
  - **Reveal patterns of eating behaviour informative for the clinical setting**





# Aim

- To develop food categories for conducting dietary pattern research in a clinical context
- To evaluate food choice patterns in the context of a clinical weight loss trial



## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Baseline dietary patterns are a significant consideration in correcting dietary exposure for weight loss

SJ Grafenauer<sup>1</sup>, LC Tapsell<sup>1</sup>, EJ Beck<sup>1</sup> and MJ Batterham<sup>2</sup>

**BACKGROUND/OBJECTIVES:** Dietary pattern studies are traditionally the domain of epidemiological research. From a clinical perspective, there is a need to explore the effects of changing food and dietary patterns of individuals. The aim was to identify patterns of food choice in the context of a clinical weight loss trial. Cluster analysis based on reported serves of food groups revealed dietary patterns informative for the clinical setting.

**SUBJECTS/METHODS:** Cluster analysis was conducted using diet history data from two clinical trials at baseline, and outcomes at 3 months were reviewed based on these clusters ( $n = 231$ ). The cluster solution was analysed using defined food groups in serves and with respect to clinical parameters and requirements for selected nutrients.

**RESULTS:** Two distinct dietary patterns were identified from the reported baseline dietary intakes. Subjects in Cluster 1 reported food patterns characterised by higher intakes of low-fat dairy and unsaturated oils and margarine and were generally more closely aligned to food choices encouraged in national dietary guidelines. Subjects in Cluster 2 reported a dietary pattern characterised by non-core foods and drinks, higher- and medium-fat dairy foods, fatty meats and alcohol. At 3 months, Cluster 2 subjects reported greater reductions in energy intake ( $-5317$  kJ;  $P < 0.001$ ) and greater weight loss ( $-5.6$  kg;  $P < 0.05$ ) compared with Cluster 1.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Overweight subjects with reported dietary patterns similar to dietary guidelines at baseline may have more difficulty in reducing energy intake than those with poor dietary patterns. Correcting exposure to non-core foods and drinks was key to successful weight loss.

*European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* (2013) 67, 330–336; doi:10.1038/ejcn.2013.26; published online 13 February 2013

**Keywords:** cluster analysis; dietary pattern; weight reduction; health outcome assessment; dietetic practice; food





# Method

- Developing a defensible system of food categories was central to the research framework.
- Seventeen food categories to define the dietary patterns.

1. Wholegrain foods (30g)
2. Non-wholegrain cereals (30g)
3. Fruit (150g)
4. Free vegetables (75g)
5. Starchy vegetables (75g)
6. Legumes (75g)
7. Low fat dairy foods: <3.5% fat (150ml)
8. Medium fat dairy foods: 3.5-10% fat (150ml)
9. High fat dairy foods: >10% fat (30g)
10. Lean Meat and poultry (30g)
11. Fatty meat (30g)
12. Fish and seafood (30g)
13. Eggs (1 egg)
14. Nuts (and seeds) (30g)
15. Unsaturated oils and margarine (5g)
16. Alcoholic beverages (400kJ)
17. Non-Core foods and drinks (600kJ)



# Method

- Cluster analysis
  - Answers the question:  
**Which people cluster together with regard to dietary intake patterns within the defined population?**  
**What typifies their diet?**
- Cluster analysis at baseline, and the association with weight-loss after 3-months.









